

Vialit Cohesion and Cracking Performance

Geoffrey M. Rowe

Abatech, Blooming Glen, United States

growe@abatech.com

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): dx.doi.org/10.14311/EE.2016.216

ABSTRACT

The Vialit Cohesion Pendulum has been used for many years in Europe as a tool for assessing modified binders with a particular emphasis on chip seals/surfacing dressing applications. The cohesion measured in this device has been considered as an indicator of quality of the bitumen and subsequent polymer formulation. This test demonstrates a transition between brittle and ductile failure $Z(\text{flow})$ of binder systems. Data will be presented from a series of tests conducted on SHRP core asphalts and these will be compared to fracture tests and master curves developed with the same binders. A discussion will follow on how the fracture of asphalt binder and mix is both rate and temperature dependent and that this parameter always needs that consideration to truly evaluate the meaning of test data. A test of this nature, while founded partly on an empirical data base of use can be better explained and understood with the consideration of speed of loading and temperature effects this allowing translation of fracture temperatures at one condition to those at another.

Keywords: Cohesion, Physical properties, Rheology

1. INTRODUCTION

Many fracture tests capture properties that cover a range of performance from brittle to flow. This occurs in the direct tension test, the Vialit Cohesion Pendulum Test and many others. This paper has been developed to demonstrate how loading time and temperature effects can be normalized by the consideration of stiffness. Furthermore, the approximate loading rate of the Vialit test is considered.

The importance of time of loading and temperature in a rheological parameter (binder stiffness) has been recognised as being related to ultimate asphalt binder (or bitumen in Europe) properties for over 50-years. Heukelom [1] demonstrated how asphalt binder properties can be related to binder ultimate properties defined as tensile strength and strain at break. In addition, he also extended this work to asphalt mixtures where the tensile strength and strain at break was normalized by the maximum strength and strain for a particular mixture. The rheological parameter used by Heukelom was the binder stiffness (S_b) defined by van der Poel [2]. This parameter effectively captures both the effect of loading time, whether expressed as frequency or time, and temperature. Other workers have shown that a parameter that captures both loading time and frequency captures the fatigue and fracture properties. For example, Smith [3] demonstrated how this can describe the fracture properties of a Styrene-Butadiene material tested over a very wide range of conditions. In his work, he normalized the data by considering the strain rate (which captures the time effects) multiplied by a shift parameter (to capture the temperature effects). Anderson et al. [4] showed that a stiffness parameter (secant modulus) could be applied with the direct tension test.

The use binder stiffness to capture the time and temperature effects of asphalt materials is elegant since binders are specified with stiffness parameters in several specifications, for example AASHTO M320. This method lends itself to a better understanding of the material properties and appears to be intuitive. Heukelom [1] showed that the binder stiffness that corresponded to the peak strength of the material corresponded to a complex modulus of the binder, G^* , value of approximately 15 MPa. Heukelom further developed his work with respect to mixtures and showed a similar plot where asphalt mixture strength, normalized with respect to the peak mixture strength for given mixture, multiple mixtures can all be compared on the same master curve plot. This initial work conducted by Heukelom pointed to the importance of expressing ultimate properties with consideration to both time of loading and temperature.

The importance of this time-temperature shifting can be further demonstrated by looking at tests such as the Fénix test developed in Spain [5] where, in this case, mixture stiffness is being used to normalize the data with respect to time and temperature. This work shows that peak energy appears to occur at a given mixture stiffness regardless of the mixture type.

Similar data have previously been obtained by Rowe and Sharrock [6] from an extended analysis of data developed as part of a study of filled systems [7]. In this analysis, it was observed that if the maximum energy associated with fracture in a Direct Tension Tester (DTT) test occurred at a relaxation modulus, $E(t)$, between 7 MPa and 20 MPa as shown in Figure 1. The $E(t)$ for each data point was calculated from a master curve derived from the master curve developed for each material from a combination of Dynamic shear Rheometer (DSR) and Bending Beam Rheometer (BBR) data. The $G(t)$ was then obtained at the time of failure in the DTT test by computing this value from a fit of the relaxation spectra [8] to the master curve. $E(t)$ was then computed assuming a Poisson's ratio of 0.5. At high stiffness, the material is behaving in a brittle manner whereas, at low stiffness, viscous flow occurs. In both cases, little energy is used to initiate the failure.




Figure 1: Data from various filled binder systems tested in the Direct Tension test with energy plotted against $E(t)$

The principle objective of this paper is to demonstrate that when comparing two fracture tests that operate with very different equipment, loading rates and temperatures, it is possible to better understand the results when effects of loading time and temperature are considered in a rational manner. While others have used normalizing parameters such as reduced strain rate, the author of this paper has always preferred to normalize results with binder stiffness. The method for performing this normalization is discussed in detail in this paper.

2. THE VIALIT PENDULUM TEST

The Vialit pendulum test, which is standardized in EN 13588 (2008), a small sample of asphalt binder is struck by a pendulum traveling at a relatively high speed, around 4.4 m/s, see Figure 2. Two fracture surfaces are created and the energy absorbed by the specimen to create the two fracture surfaces is recorded. The cohesion test is conducted at multiple temperatures and a plot of cohesion versus temperature is obtained. Characteristic values are taken from this plot which are; 1) the maximum cohesion, 2) temperature at which the maximum cohesion occurs and 3) the range over which a minimum cohesion is achieved.




Figure 2: The Vialit pendulum test; top left – specimen preparation, bottom left – pendulum shown in position in which it would strike upper fixture of sample, top right – general view of apparatus, bottom right – fractured surfaces

Various specifications exist for this testing, for example the European standards EN 13808 (2005) and EN 15322 (2009) both use this test as a basis for the CE marking (Conformité Européene - indicating conformance with European specifications) of polymer-modified binders for the intended use of surface treatments. The Highways Agency [9] in the United Kingdom has a specification for highway works. In these specifications, determination of cohesion on bituminous binders is required for certain types of binders. The applications of this test are mainly limited to the performance of polymer-modified binder in surface treatments and with some limited uses in other areas, for example bond coats.

When data from various sources [10, 11, 12] were reviewed, it was observed that a relationship between penetration at 25°C and maximum cohesion temperature (defined as the temperature where the maximum cohesion occurs on the temperature scale) exists with a reasonable correlation is observed [13]. This correlation can be expected because the penetration at 25°C can be considered a surrogate for the asphalt binder stiffness. This relationship supports the concept that the maximum cohesion appears to occur at an equal-binder stiffness value as it typically occurs in other ultimate property tests.

Earlier, Rowe and Sharrock [6] presented the concept that the difference in the energy peak obtained from direct tension testing, where the area under the stress strain curve was available for multiple temperatures, can be compared to the Vialit test results. Both tests have a clear brittle to ductile testing range and both tests have a clear peak in the plot of

results obtained when expressed as energy of cohesion. The difference in the peak locations in the two types of tests can be related to loading time as indicated in Figure 3 whereas the width of the peak in the temperature scale representation is related to the rate of loading differences in the two tests. These aspects are discussed further in the following sections.




Figure 3: Comparison of Energy dissipated in a DTT fracture test versus cohesion in a Vialit Cohesion Pendulum test

3. EXPERIMENTAL

To assess the equivalent loading rate that would correspond to an assumed peak of 15 MPa, a series of tests have been conducted. These include BBR and DSR testing of asphalt binder samples to determine the master curve properties. From the master curve data, the binder stiffness of 15 MPa can be determined over a large rate of loadings and frequencies using interpolation techniques. The initial analysis has been conducted with a PG 76-22 binder and four SHRP binders being evaluated.

As part of the selection of a temperature window over which to consider a brittle ductile transition, the $G^*=15$ MPa criteria has been adopted as an approximate location for the peak in the energy plots. It was also considered that, when the $S(t)$ reaches 300 MPa, as defined by the SHRP low temperature parameter, the material should be behaving in a brittle manner. These assumptions provide two stiffness values which can be used to consider a brittle to peak toughness transition range. The next step of the analysis conducted was to determine a suitable value of G^* that corresponds to $S(t) = 300$ MPa so that the full analysis in the frequency domain could be conducted. For this assessment, the data from 6-SHRP core asphalt binders, all after pressure aging conditioning and testing in the BBR at multiple temperatures, were analysed. In the analysis of the SHRP asphalt binders, the values of stiffness from the BBR test have been converted to G^* values using the Hopkins and Hamming transformation from $S(t)$ to $E(t)$ and then by completing the transformation by fitting a relaxation spectrum to the data and performing an inter-conversion. This analysis was performed using commercial software which conducts the transformations in a seamless and automatic manner. This approach avoids errors introduced by the simply applied approximation where G^* is taken as $E(t)/3$. A very good fit is obtained for the inter-conversion between G^* and $S(t)$ and, from this analysis, it can be assumed that, when $S(t)$ equals 300 MPa, the corresponding G^* would be 111 MPa [13].

Using the principles of time-temperature superposition, master curves were developed. The resulting master curve for the PG76-22 is shown in Figure 4. The data were collected using both a BBR and a DSR over the temperature range 70 to -28°C. The BBR data were converted to dynamic data using the RHEA™ software. The effect of the polymer in this example can be seen when inspecting the shape of the phase angle versus frequency. The flattening of this curve as the binder stiffness reduces is typical of the polymer network providing structure at lower stiffness values. The corresponding curve obtained from the Vialit pendulum test for this material is shown in Figure 5.

The peak in this example in the Vialit data occurs at a temperature of 53.1°C and if we consider that this conforms to a binder stiffness of approximately 15MPa the using the master curve information it is possible to deduce the loading time for the Vialit test. The master curve data is used to construct isochrones of stiffness for various loading times as shown in Figure 6. The loading frequency that corresponds to a stiffness G^* of 15 MPa can be determined and this was computed to be 133,000 radians per second. The exact interpolation was aided by the use of the RHEA™ software and the interpolation facilities provided therein using the discrete spectra analysis of the data. For the SHRP core asphalt binder AAD, a similar analysis was conducted and the equivalent loading rate was computed as 126,259 radians per second, which is similar to the number produced for the modified grade. While a couple of preliminary data sets show that the frequency conversion in this test is greater than 100,000 rads/s, the variability in the number is currently unknown because

only a limited number of results are currently available. A few degrees Celsius difference in the peak determination will affect the frequency considerably and, while the two numbers appear very close, it would be expected that the range of frequency conversions to be obtained at the end of this test programme may span a decade. Some preliminary results with other binders support this view. The variability will be more fully understood when the entire test programme has been completed but it is anticipated that the variability will be best considered on a logarithmic scale, as for many parameters associated with rheological and fracture analysis.




Figure 4: Master curve for PG76-22 binder at a reference temperature of 25°C




Figure 5: Cohesion versus temperature for a PG76-22 binder

The speed of the Vialit pendulum is approximately 4.4 m/s as it strikes the asphalt binder specimen, which seems quite slow compared to the rates of loading discussed above. At the point of impact, a load is ramped rapidly within the

specimen as its velocity rapidly changes from zero to that of the pendulum. The use of impact loads, such as experienced in this test, results in very fast loading rates and the rate of loading that is experienced in the specimen is far from what could be deduced from the speed of the pendulum.

Figure 3 shows a typical DTT versus Vialit test result plotted versus temperature. From this plot, it can be observed that the range from brittle to ductile transition in the DTT test appears smaller than that which is obtained in the Vialit test. In Figure 7, a DTT data set is plotted in which an addition has been made of the temperature at which the binder reached a stiffness of 300 MPa in the BBR at a time of loading of 60 s (indicated by the T_{crit} arrow). The stress, strain and energy obtained are shown. At the higher temperatures, the DTT reaches a limiting strain (around 30%) because of a constraint of the test apparatus and, consequently, the values above -5°C are most likely reach a limiting conditioning for the test equipment. The width of the area with higher energy dissipation (higher toughness) is considered describable for fracture properties. The peak in a DTT test typically occurs at a temperature which is typically about 12° higher than the critical cracking temperature, as shown in Figure 7. One of the key aspects when comparing tests is to have a proper consideration of the relative loading times at the failure event in order to ensure that time and temperature of loading are both adequately accounted for. In the DTT test, a constant deformation rate of 1mm/min is used with a effective specimen gauge length of 33 mm enabling the computation of strain as a function of time. For a range of materials, the loading time was computed at the failure condition and using data from BBR tests in order to determine the relaxation modulus, $E(t)$, that would correspond to this condition. For a stiffness that would correspond to 300 MPa, a loading time can be deduced to be approximately 43 s from the DTT test using the relationship obtained in this figure which would approximate to a frequency of 0.023 rads/s (assuming that $\omega=1/t$). At this loading time, G^* is assumed to approximate to 111MPa, as discussed earlier in this paper.

A second isochronal plot has then been generated which considers just these two loading times, 0.023 rads/s and 130,000 rads/s, corresponding to the approximate DTT loading time and the Vialit loading time, see Figure 8. Also shown on this plot is the two critical conditions discussed earlier. These are the value of G^* corresponding to a brittle condition, taken as 111 MPa, and the value of G^* corresponding to a peak toughness, as observed in earlier DTT tests and suggested by the work of Heukelom [1] of 15 MPa and consistent with the data plotted in Figure 1. These values would provide an indication of the width of an “energy” plot for the two loading conditions towards the brittle side of the transition. The values deduced are shown as 11.5°C and 23.5°C , respectively. These values seem reasonable when inspecting Figure 3, Figure 5 and Figure 7. The difference in shape of curve and the fact that the DTT curve covers a narrower temperature range from the Vialit test is entirely consistent with the rheology and the principles of time temperature superposition when inspecting the slopes of the curves developed in Figure 8.

The performance of different binder systems in this test is very large as indicated in Figure 9. For the conventional grades, the maximum cohesion is considerably reduced and the width parameter at a given value of cohesion (typically 5 kJ/m²) is also lower.




Figure 6: Isochrones of G^* produced using the relaxation spectra obtained for the PG76-22 binder




Figure 7: DTT data represented as stress, strain and energy at a break condition (Rowe and Sharrock, 2004)




Figure 8: Computation of isochrones corresponding to loading times deduced for the DTT test and the Vialit tests




Figure 9: Comparison of a modified PG76-22 versus four SHRP PAV-aged asphalt binders in the Vialit Pendulum test

4. DISCUSSION

It has been demonstrated that the rate of loading in two very different tests, Vialit pendulum and DTT, can be used to explain the differences observed in the brittle to ductile transition area on the temperature scale. The transition zone is bigger in the Vialit test because of a faster rate of loading. The understanding of the effects of rheology via time-temperature superposition enables a better understanding of the material properties and helps to distinguish test artefacts versus true material performance. In direct tension tests, it is well known that the use of polymer-modified binder will increase the stress, strain and energy at which failure occurs. This increase was one of the reasons for the development of the critical cracking temperature procedure implemented in AASHTO and ASTM specifications that captured the increased strength properties of polymer-modified binders [14]. However, the same brittle to ductile transition that can be captured in a DTT test at low temperatures can be related to a brittle to ductile transition in a Vialit test at a significantly higher temperature. This translation occurs because, in the temperature range of interest covered by these two tests, no first order transitions in the materials properties are occurring. The DTT test is conducted above the glass transition temperature in nearly all cases and the Vialit test is conducted below temperatures associated with polymer melting points. Thus, in the range of temperatures covered by these tests, the material is generally behaving as a thermo-rheologically simple material in which the principles of time-temperature supposition are valid. That said, in a few circumstances, the presence of wax and/or wax like modifiers may marginally impact this assumption in certain asphalt binders.

In recent years, many tests have been developed to characterize ultimate properties. These have included tests to capture the performance of bond between layers, cracking of binders, durability of reclaimed asphalt binders and other properties. Often, the test methods have been developed at a single temperature and measure a property such as tensile strength or bond strength. For example, a well-known test in Europe is the Leutner shear test for assessment of bond between two layers. The loading rate in this test is a constant rate of deformation of 50 mm/min and the test is conducted at a standard temperature of 20°C. In the USA, similar versions of this test have been implemented in specifications using a test temperature of 25°C. The use of a single loading rate and single temperature enables one point to be captured on a curve of an ultimate property (be in stress, strain or energy) versus temperature or a reduced property such as binder stiffness. The “cohesion” of a material is important for bond because a “cohesive” bond is required between two asphalt mixture layers. Consequently, a test at a single condition does not fully define material performance but rather only gives a single point on a curve. The use of strength alone can also be deceiving because high strengths are often obtained close to a brittle condition. For a material to perform well, performance is required over a range of temperatures associated with a particular climatic zone and loading times associated with the applied loading/traffic.

In the Vialit test, the performance range is often captured which transitions between brittle and flow type behaviour. Typically, this range is captured at 5 kJ/m² [11]. In addition, it has been suggested that the performance width in the Vialit test is related to the relaxation properties of the binder [13] but more work is needed to fully capture this effect. The low temperature limiting strength is most likely related to a low temperature stiffness (G^*) of approximately 100 MPa. The peak, while being correlated to PEN in a limited number of tests is often difficult to define and consequently a low temperature limiting value of cohesion may be more useful to define than the peak temperature.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The Vialit pendulum testing, as standardized in CEN standards, provides another method of characterizing a brittle to ductile transition that occurs in asphalt binders. The properties are defined at a very high rate of loading and the work has been conducted to deduce the loading rates that apply in this test. In European standards, the magnitude of the peak that occurs (peak cohesion) and the breadth of the curve are considered important properties. The position on the temperature scale of the peak cohesion is also recorded and reported. In this work, some information related to a conceptual framework for analysis of ultimate property tests is presented. The key findings to date are as follows:

1. Preliminary data suggest that the equivalent loading rate using dynamic oscillatory measurements is around 120,000 to 130,000 rads/s.
2. The temperature range, which covers the brittle to ductile transition, must be considered as a function of loading rate. At faster rates, a larger temperature ranges results.
3. The test discriminates between modified and conventional asphalt binders as demonstrated by the comparison between SHRP core asphalt binders and a modified PG76-22.

The work demonstrates the importance of rheology in understanding fracture and cohesion in a simple test such as the Vialit pendulum test. The rheological considerations can be applied to the understanding of results from other tests. To fully capture a materials performance window, it is very important to conduct tests at more than one temperature because single point tests can be misleading when a bell shape curve exists for cohesion energy and fracture properties. Only full consideration of the time-temperature aspects can fully describe a materials ultimate property characterization. The preferred method to consider performance of a material is to plot the data obtained as a function of binder stiffness, capturing the time and temperature effects in this parameter when possible.

Additional work is currently being performed with this test method and binders used for this study. It is hoped that, within the next year, considerably more data will be available including for a series of binders used in today's market in the USA along with a full analysis of the older SHRP binders that are still available for testing. Some additional DTT testing work is also planned and these results will be reported on in future papers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author gratefully acknowledges contributions from the Rutgers Asphalt Materials Laboratory for use of the facilities, the contribution of equipment from Cooper Technologies in the United Kingdom and James Cox and Sons. Furthermore this work would not have been possible without assistance from the Asphalt Institute and Mike Anderson who prepared all the samples for testing and the work of the Binder Expert Task Group for developing a work to address intermediate temperature properties. In addition, the authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of Mr. Martin Heslop for providing some of the ideas with regard to use of this test method in evaluating the performance properties of modified binders.

REFERENCES

- [1] Heukelom, W, "Observations on the Rheology and Fracture of Bitumens and Asphalt Mixes", Proceedings, Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, Volume 35, 1966, pp. 358-399
- [2] Van der Poel, C.J., "A General System Describing the Visco-Elastic Properties of Bitumens and its relation to routine test data," Journal of Applied Chemistry, Volume 4, 1954, pp. 221-236.
- [3] Smith, T.L. "Dependence of the Ultimate Properties of a GR-S Rubber on Strain Rate and Temperature", Journal of Polymer Science, Volume 32, 1958, pp. 99-113.
- [4] Anderson, D.A., Christensen, D.W., Bahia, H.U., Dongre, R., Sharma, M.G., Antle, C.E and Button, J., "Binder Characterization and Evaluation, Volume 3: Physical Characterization", SHRP-A-369, Strategic Highway Research Program, National Research Council, Washington, DC 1994.
- [5] Pérez-Jiménez, F., Valdés, G.A., Botella, R., Miró, R. and Martínez, A., "Approach to fatigue performance using Fénix test for asphalt mixtures", Construction and Building Materials, Elsevier, No. 26, 2012, pp. 372-380.
- [6] Rowe, G.M. and Sharrock, M.J. "The Direct Tension Test and the Behaviour of Asphalt Binders at Low and Intermediate Temperatures", The 4th International symposium on Binder Rheology and Pavement Performance, Scottsdale, Arizona, September 22-23, 2003.
- [7] Rayner, C. and Rowe, G.M, "Properties of Mastics Using Different Fillers with Both Unmodified and EVA-Modified Binders", Proceedings, Eurasphalt & Eurobitume Congress, Vienna, April 2004.
- [8] Baumgaertel, M and Winter, H.H., "Determination of discrete relaxation and retardation time spectra from dynamic mechanical data", Rheol Acta 28:511-519, 1989.
- [9] Highways Agency, Transport Scotland, The National Assembly for Wales and The Department for Regional Development Northern Ireland "Determination of Cohesion of Bitumen and Bituminous Binders", Manual of Contract Documents for Highway Works, Volume 1, Clause 957 - Specification for Highway Works, 2008.

- [10] Total Bitumen, "Emulsis Footpath® Polymer Modified Footway Surface Dressing Emulsion," Technical Data Sheet, February 2007.
- [11] British Board of Agrément, "Colas Modified Bitumen Road Emulsions, Suffix 80S, Prinmuls Lite LMP90X, Premium 80 and Prinmuls MP90X Road Emulsions," HAPAS – Highways Authorities' Product Approval Certificate, Agrément Certificate 10/H162, September 2010. aumgardner, G.L., "Rubber Modified Binders and Mixtures", Presentation at the 56th New Jersey Asphalt Paving Conference, 5-6 March, 2013.
- [12] Surrey County Council, "A Review of Rhinophalt Preservative The preventative maintenance system by ASI Solutions" Surrey County Council Asset Planning Group, September 2011.
- [13] Rowe, G.M., "Cohesion, Fracture and Bond – Understanding the Data from the Vialit Cohesion Pendulum Test and Other Fracture Tests from an Analysis of Rheological Properties," The International Journal of Pavement Engineering & Asphalt Technology, Volume 15 Issue 1 (ISSN 1464-8164), May 2014, pp. 20-37.
- [14] Bouldin, M.G., Dongré, R.N., Rowe, G.M., Sharrock, M.J. and Anderson, D.A., "Predicting Thermal Cracking of Pavements from Binder Properties - Theoretical Basis and Field Validation", Proceedings, Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, Volume 69, 2000.